# **CE Administrative Review (AR) Preparation Form**

Contracting Entity (CE) Name: Date of Review		:				
CE ID Number: Attendance Fac			tor:			
Site	Name	e:				
I Ani	olicati	ion Approval		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>N/A</u>
1. Apı	Jiicati	Are applications approved at this school?				
•••	Res	ponsible Party				
2.	,	Are applications on file correctly approved?				
Yo		t to be sure that the person for approving apps is the same as atement	on your			
		ertification				
1.		Is direct certification correctly utilized by the school?				
2.		YES, is required documentation maintained at the CE level? (I ve is $No$ , mark "N/A")	f Question 1			
	should	I be down loading from the state DC every month (LA-08 steps				
and y list.	ou mi	ust have the original printed STATE DC list in addition to Syste	ems Design			
III. Ma	aster	List				
1.		Is a master list used in the meal system? (FS-04-02-use the	E option)			
2.	and	Do names listed on the master list match approved applicat on the direct certification list? (Be sure to archived FS-04-09)	ions on file			
3.	serv	If more than one list is used (e.g. master list/ticket issuance ice line list), then are all lists the same? You need to discard o				
4.	leas	Are all lists updated as needed? (This should be run at ever tonce a month)	ry campus at			
IV. M	eal C	ount System				
1.		oes the meal count system produce an accurate count of reimble (free/reduced-price/paid) served to eligible children?	oursable			
	a.	Is the implemented collection procedure the approved collect procedure?	tion			
	b.	Is the method used for counting reimbursable meals in comp the approved point of service requirement? (Meal counts must the location where complete meals are served to children)				
	c.	If the meal count is not taken at the end of the food service lischool have a system to account for reimbursable meals?	ne, does the			
	d.	Is the point-of-service meal count used to determine the schoreimbursement?	ool's claim for			
	e.	Is the person responsible for monitoring meals correctly identerimbursable meals?	tifying			
	f.	Is there a method of identifying non-reimbursable meals (i.e., meal pattern requirements, seconds, adult meals, etc.), distirthem from reimbursable meals?				

Page 1 of 4

	g. Is someone trained as a backup for the monitor and the meal counter?			
	h. Does the collection procedure in use ensure that only one meal per child per day is claimed for reimbursement? Yes any additional meals are claimed as Ala Carte			
		Voc	No	N/A
2.	Does the meal count system prevent overt identification?	<u>Yes</u> □	<u>No</u>	N/A
۷.	a. Is the medium of exchange made available to all students at the same			
	location?			
	b. Does the medium of exchange use accepted codes for identifying students as free, reduced price or paid?			
3.	Does the school have a back-up counting system in case of mechanical failure of the automated system and do staff know when and how to implement it? (Master Roster with "E" option" for Encryption)			
4.	Is the school correctly implementing policies for handling the following issues?			
	Yes No N/A			
	Incomplete Meals			
	Second Meals (All 2 <sup>nd</sup> meals are ala carte price)			
	Lost, stolen, misused, forgotten or destroyed			
	• Visiting student meals (Listed on FS-07-05)			
	Adult and non-student meals (and identifying program vs. non-program) (use MEALRP)			
	A la carte			
	Student worker meals (earned Meals count available)			
	Field Trips			
	Charged and/or prepaid meals			
	Offer vs. Serve			
V Ma	eal Count Recording and Edit Checks	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	N/A
1.	Does the school use proper procedures for counting and recording meals?			
2.	For any day during the review month, does the number claimed for free			
	and reduced-price meals exceed the number of free and reduced-price eligible students? ( <i>Use MEALRP</i> and <i>MEALR2</i> or <i>FS-04-15&amp; 16</i> for reporting)			
3.	For any day during the review month, does the number of meals claimed exceed the attendance factor? (This is flagged in FS-07-10 & FS-04-21)			
4.	Does the school have proper procedures to manage and safeguard cash (reconciliation, extra item sales, adult meals, etc.)?			
5.	Are daily counts correctly totaled and recorded?			

6.	If claims are aggregated, are the meal counts correctly totaled and consolidated?					
7.	Are internal controls (edits, monitoring, etc.) established to ensure that daily meal counts do not exceed the number of students eligible or in attendance and that an accurate claim for reimbursement is made? (This is on					
0	•	ort that is run everyday at campus a	<u>-</u>			
8.	Record today's meal counts by category and compare to the number of students eligible by category.					
		Number of Students Approved by Category	Today's Meal Counts by Category			
	Free					
	Reduced-Price					
	Paid					
		two questions are for all CEs <u>exc</u> ase years or RCCIs with only resi		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>N/A</u>
9.	Is a current eligibility list kept up-to-date and used by the meal count system to provide an accurate daily count of reimbursable meals <b>by category</b> (free, reduced-price, paid)?					
10.	O. If applicable, are edit checks completed and documented which compare the daily counts of free, reduced-price and paid lunches against the product of the number of children currently eligible for free, reduced-price and paid lunches, respectively, times an attendance factor (and any discrepancies accounted for)? (Compare FS-07-10 to FS-04-21. BE SURE to run FS-08-02 and it should read "UPDATE ACCUCLAIM DATE RANGE" prior to running any end of Month Reports and before an Audit!)					
VI. Re	esults of Review					
1.	1. Is corrective action plan required?					
2.						
VII. Comments, Notes and Observations During the Review						
VIII. Suggest Corrective Action (Follow-up in 45 days)?						

#### Signature of CE Reviewer

## Signature of Manager

## Directions: CE Administrative Review (AR) Preparation Form

#### **Purpose**

This form is intended to serve as review or selfassessment in preparation for an on site administrative review (AR). Staff may find conducting a self-assessment every other month will support preparation for an on site review and promote effective management.

## **Directions for Completing This Form**

General Information

- Contracting Entity (CE) Name: Record the name of the CE in the designated space.
- **CE ID Number:** Record the ID number of the CE in the designated space.

Use This Form		
Frequency	As needed, recommended every other month	
Required Form Format	Use this sample or a similar review instrument.	
Record Retention	Form kept on site and made available on request. State Board of Education policies require public and charter schools to mail record for a period of five years. Private schools RCCIs maintain records for three years.	

- **Site/School Name:** Record the site or school location name in the designated space.
- **Date of Review:** Record the date the review was completed.
- **Attendance Factor:** Record the CE's attendance factor in the designated space.

(NOTE: The attendance factor is the total daily attendance for the month divided by the number of days in operation for the month. This number is reported on the Accuclaim/Edit Check Daily Record or for Provision 2 schools the P2 | Daily/Accuclaim Record Form. 1)

#### Questions LV

- Answer each question by marking the appropriate box under Yes, No, or N/A and fill in any blanks spaces as requested.
- Review the CE/site retained documentation related to each question topic area.
  - Is the documentation readily accessible?
  - Is the document kept in an organized manner?
  - Does the documentation support the answer?
  - If not, what changes need to be made to ensure that the CE/site is implementing the program correctly?
- Compare results from the self-assessment to previous scores.
- Develop strategies to address areas of need.

Question VI

Form available at http://www.squaremeals.org.

- Determine if the answers to Questions I–V will result in a corrective action plan (CAP). Mark the appropriate response once that determination has been made.
- Determine if a follow-up review is needed to make sure the CAP has been completed successfully. Mark the appropriate response once that determination has been made.

Question VII

 Record any comments, notes or observations based on the review (and CAP and followup if appropriate) that will help in improving the nutrition program.

\_\_\_\_\_

There are some other general reports that you may find helpful for checking and cleaning up applications are:

**LA-14-03** This will give you a report of any applications that may exist without students so that you can delete them

**LA-15-02** This report will print a list of students that are eating at a different status that what the application was approved for. This does occur when a student on a application was approved for reduced but was also on a migrant, run away or foster list. You need to review these to make sure that it correct and not a clerical error.

The other report for appliactions is **LA-14-05** which is the application audit report that you should pull for the capuses being audited to give to the auditor. This provides a list of appliactions that need to be pulled and a brief summary of the support material for the

Page 5 of 4